PREHEARING CONFERENCE

BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

HEARING ROOM A

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 16, 2003 2:04 p.m.

Reported by: Peter Petty Contract No. 170-01-001

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

John L. Geesman, Presiding Member

HEARING OFFICER, ADVISORS PRESENT

Gary Fay, Hearing Officer

STAFF AND CONSULTANTS PRESENT

Dick Ratliff, Staff Counsel

Matthew Trask, Project Manager

PUBLIC ADVISER

Roberta Mendonca

APPLICANT

Scott A. Galati, Attorney Galati & Blek, LLP

Leslie J. Ward, General Manager
Pico Power Project
James H. Pope, Director of Electric Utility
John C. Roukema, Assistant Director of Electric
Utility
Silicon Valley Power
City of Santa Clara

Andrea E. Grenier, Principal Argonaut Consulting Environmental Project Manager Pico Power Project

Douglas M. Davy, Consulting Scientist Tetra Tech FW, Inc.

iii

I N D E X

	Page
Proceedings	1
Opening Remarks	1
Introductions	1
Public Adviser Mendonca	2
Background and Overview	3
Hearing Officer Fay	3
Schedule	4
Prehearing Conference Statements	12
Applicant	12,17
CEC Staff	14,21
Closing Remarks	29
Adjournment	29
Reporter's Certificate	30

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	2:04 p.m.
3	PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: I'm John
4	Geesman, a member of the California Energy
5	Commission. This is the prehearing conference for
6	the Pico Power Plant.
7	To my right is Gary Fay, the Hearing
8	Officer, who will be conducting the hearing. And
9	I think the best thing for me to do is turn it
10	over to you.
11	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Thank you,
12	Commissioner Geesman. Welcome, everybody.
13	This prehearing conference was noticed
14	on, I believe, March 24th. And I will be going
15	through just what we need to accomplish today, but
16	first I'd like to take appearances from the
17	parties. Can everybody hear me all right? All
18	right.
19	So, let's begin with Mr. Galati.
20	MR. GALATI: My name is Scott Galati.
21	I'm the counsel to the Pico Power Project. On my
22	left is Leslie J. Ward; he is the General Manager
23	of the Pico Power Project.
24	And behind me we're supported by Andrea
25	Grenier, who is a consultant. And also Doug Davy

```
with Tetra Tech Foster Wheeler, who is a
```

- 2 consultant in charge of preparing the AFC.
- 3 Also in the room we have Mr. Jim Pope,
- 4 who is the Director of the Silicon Valley Power;
- 5 and I believe John Roukema, who is the Assistant
- 6 Director for Silicon Valley Power.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Thank you. Mr.
- 8 Ratliff.
- 9 MR. RATLIFF: I'm Dick Ratliff, Counsel
- 10 for Staff. And with me is Matt Trask who is the
- 11 Project Manager.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. Is there
- any representative from CAPE, from CURE? Okay. I
- 14 hear no indication.
- Ms. Mendonca, you're here as the Public
- 16 Adviser.
- MS. MENDONCA: Yes.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FAY: And have you heard
- 19 from anybody on this case?
- 20 MS. MENDONCA: Thank you for asking.
- 21 Roberta Mendonca, the Public Adviser's Office. My
- office has had very little indication from the
- 23 community that they have other than support for
- this project. So I know of no one that's going to
- 25 be calling in today. Thank you.

	<u> </u>
1	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, thanks. And
2	are there any agency representatives here? Okay,
3	I see no indication. All right, thank you.
4	Just by way of background, as explained
5	in our notice, the basic purpose of the prehearing
6	conference is to assess the parties' readiness for
7	hearings; to clarify areas of agreement or
8	dispute; to identify witnesses and exhibits; to
9	determine upon which areas parties desire to
10	cross-examine witnesses; and to discuss associated
11	procedural items.
12	This is not a time to take evidence.
13	To keep to the purposes of the
14	prehearing conference we required in the notice
15	that any party who wants to participate today file
16	a prehearing conference statement by April 11th.
17	And we received prehearing conference statements
18	from the applicant and staff.
19	Relevant documents for today's
20	prehearing conference include the staff assessment
21	that was issued on March 26th; the prehearing
22	conference statements of the two parties; and just

nt conference statements of the two parties; and just filed the other day, applicant's suggested modifications.

25 And I'd just call your attention to the

23

1	fact.	t.hat.	as	an	attachment	t.o	its	preheari	ina

- 2 conference statement the applicant filed an
- 3 exhibit list, a proposed exhibit list, and we have
- 4 copies of that on the counsel table. And we'll
- 5 probably just go with these numbers and then add
- 6 the staff documents sequentially after that.
- 7 First of all, I'd like to discuss the
- 8 case schedule a little bit before we get into the
- 9 substantive topics. The first question is does
- 10 the applicant have a date when it would propose
- filing its testimony before the hearings?
- MR. GALATI: Yes, before the hearing we
- 13 could file our testimony by the 30th.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. And there
- was mention of staff addendum. Is that an
- 16 addendum to this original SA part one?
- 17 MR. TRASK: Correct.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, and when
- 19 will that be filed?
- 20 MR. TRASK: Our target date is the 28th,
- 21 unless that's a weekend.
- 22 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: I think
- that's a Monday.
- MR. TRASK: I believe it is Monday.
- 25 Yes.

1	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Yes, that is a
2	workday, so I guess you're locked in.
3	And how about briefs? Applicant
4	suggested May 21st. I just want to remind
5	everybody that if we have a short hearing, for
6	instance about four hours of hearing time, then it
7	would probably take six or seven days to get the
8	transcript. If that's the case, then filing
9	briefs on May 21st would leave you one week with
10	the transcripts. Is that still satisfactory?
11	MR. GALATI: That's still satisfactory
12	to the applicant.
13	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Mr. Ratliff?
14	MR. RATLIFF: Yes.
15	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. Do the
16	parties see any need at this time for reply
17	briefs?
18	MR. RATLIFF: No.
19	MR. GALATI: I actually don't see that,
20	any need for that.
21	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, let's assume
22	no reply briefs. And so you can assume May 21st
23	will be the order for filing briefs. This will
24	all appear in the hearing order for the
25	evidentiary hearings, but we might as well tell

```
1 you what is going to be in the order.
```

- 2 At this point what is the most likely
- 3 date for the preliminary determination of
- 4 compliance from the Air District?
- 5 MR. TRASK: May 1st.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FAY: May 1st, okay.
- 7 And how about a likely date for the staff
- 8 assessment part two that covers air quality and
- 9 alternatives?
- 10 MR. TRASK: I'll give you a range; I
- 11 hope that's acceptable. Between May 14th and May
- 12 21st.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay.
- 14 MR. TRASK: The air quality staff has
- asked for a minimum of two weeks for their part of
- 16 it.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, let's just
- 18 assume May 21st, just so we don't get squeezed.
- 19 I'm not so concerned about giving staff ample
- 20 time; it's just that if it turns out to be on the
- late side, and we've anticipated hearings, et
- cetera, we might have a problem.
- 23 How about the date for applicant to file
- 24 testimony? If staff files on May 21st.
- MR. GALATI: I believe that because it's

```
1 been bifurcated this way that there might be a
```

- 2 workshop involved on that staff assessment. So I
- 3 think we would be five days, at a minimum, after
- 4 the workshop to adequately reflect whatever we've
- 5 worked out.
- 6 So, if --
- 7 MR. TRASK: We intend to schedule the
- 8 workshop within a matter of a few days, let's say
- 9 we'll assume the 24th. And we could be as much as
- 10 a week before that.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, the 24th is
- 12 a Saturday, but if you had the workshop two days
- 13 later --
- MR. TRASK: 23rd then.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FAY: -- that would be
- the 23rd, that's a Friday. That would be probably
- 17 the soonest you could do it.
- 18 MR. TRASK: The only issue will be
- whether or not the applicant feels they have
- 20 enough time to review our staff assessment. What
- I think we can do is probably release a draft
- version, maybe, I'll have to see if we can do
- 23 that. That'll give you some extra review time.
- 24 MR. GALATI: I think since it's only
- going to include the two sections, one of which

1	!	_]				ما بداد د	٠	⊥ 1₀ ₀	
1	we re	aireau	/ verv	/ familiar	$W \perp U $, WILLCII	$\perp s$	une	aır

- 2 quality section, I think that we will have the
- 3 PDOC by then, so we'll have at least the bulk of
- 4 the conditions.
- 5 I think we could do it in a couple of
- 6 days and be productive at the workshop.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. And then
- 8 you say you'd need a week after the workshop?
- 9 MR. GALATI: Again, that would put us, I
- 10 think we could file testimony by Wednesday or
- 11 Thursday the following week. Again, we're only
- 12 going to be filing testimony on those two subject
- areas. So I think we can utilize the weekend.
- 14 And since it probably places more of a
- burden on me than others, I'll take Wednesday,
- which is the -- is that the 29th?
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Looks like May
- 18 28th.
- MR. GALATI: May 28th.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FAY: We've got a
- 21 Memorial Day weekend in here. Maybe that's not a
- 22 factor.
- MR. GALATI: What's that?
- 24 HEARING OFFICER FAY: There is a
- 25 Memorial Day weekend in there on the 26th.

Τ		MR.	GALATI:	Those	weekenas	are	made	Ior	
2	working.								

- 3 HEARING OFFICER FAY: That's right. All
- 4 right, I put down May 29th for applicant to file;
- 5 a little more room in case there's some surprises.
- 6 And then when would you be ready to go
- 7 to hearings?
- 8 MR. GALATI: We'd be ready the following
- 9 week.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FAY: One week later?
- 11 MR. GALATI: Yeah, I think there's a
- 12 requirement of a minimum of five days, our
- 13 testimony be filed five days prior to hearing, I
- 14 believe.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FAY: I think the
- 16 earliest date that we know we can have a hearing
- 17 would be Monday, June 16th. Would that work for
- 18 the staff?
- MR. RATLIFF: Yes.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Would that work,
- 21 how about for the applicant, is that acceptable?
- 22 And if that's the date we use, we could actually,
- 23 you know, slip your filing date, just in case the
- 24 workshop was a little bit later.
- 25 MR. GALATI: If there is a possibility

```
of doing it earlier, because we --
```

- 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm going to go
- 3 check.
- 4 MR. GALATI: Okay, thank you. We
- 5 certainly don't want to squeeze Mr. Fay at the end
- of the process with the PMPD. So, --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Well, the earliest
- 8 that I could do it would be like Wednesday the
- 9 11th. You know, I'll be gone the previous week
- 10 and a half, and I need a few days with the
- 11 testimony.
- 12 So, Commissioner Geesman is going to
- 13 check on those last three days of the week, June
- 14 11, 12 and 13.
- 15 And then do you anticipate the same sort
- of sequence on briefs, just two weeks later have
- 17 the briefs --
- MR. GALATI: I'm sorry --
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, and then
- 20 briefs two weeks later?
- MR. GALATI: We're going to try to
- 22 prepare our brief ahead of time. We think that
- 23 most of the issues will be resolved. And we can
- 24 have a deadline for two weeks later, we're going
- 25 to substantially beat that deadline.

1	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Well, if you don't
2	have a transcript you're not going to be citing to
3	the record.

MR. GALATI: We're going to do our best and will certainly cite to the record as soon as that transcript is available. But I don't think we'll -- our goal would be, and we hope that we would be doing much of air quality on declaration and not having a dispute with staff. And if so, we would be filing to a lot of written exhibits -- citing to a lot of written exhibits and then augmenting that brief as soon as the transcript is available to recognize when those were actually admitted into evidence.

So, I think that honestly we'd need one day after the transcript was available.

17 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay.

MR. TRASK: Mr. Fay, staff does not anticipate needing the transcript to prepare our briefs or any documents for the hearing.

MR. RATLIFF: I'd just add that we would probably need the transcript if we were adjudicating issues, but we haven't anticipated that we're actually going to adjudicate any issues. So we think that probably any brief that

```
1 we would write would rely principally on the
```

- 2 written testimony.
- 3 So we think we could probably do that
- 4 more expeditiously. That's assuming --
- 5 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Well, if you
- 6 both --
- 7 MR. RATLIFF: -- assuming we don't --
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FAY: -- think that's
- 9 likely to be the case, we can say one week, one
- 10 week later.
- 11 MR. GALATI: Actually we hope we get a
- 12 hearing date on the 11th, so it would be June
- 13 17th.
- 14 MR. TRASK: Right, so hearing plus one
- week.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. All right,
- 17 let's move to the prehearing conference
- 18 statements.
- 19 For the applicant, do you have any
- 20 corrections or revisions to your statement?
- 21 MR. GALATI: Actually I don't believe
- that we do. We are still waiting for a written
- 23 confirmation from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 24 And we're working -- our understanding is that
- 25 there is an individual at U.S. Fish and Wildlife

1	Service	who ha	s beer	n out this	week, wa	ill be	back
2	next wee	k. An	d we a	anticipate	getting	that	written

3 confirmation within the next week or so.

4 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay.

5 MR. GALATI: That will then allow us to, 6 in our testimony by the 30th, describe how we no 7 longer have a dispute in biological resources. So

we still think we're on track for that.

HEARING OFFICER FAY: Now, in a worst case scenario is it possible to move forward without that confirmation with the understanding that you have agreed to a certain level of mitigation, and you could describe on the record the reaction you've gotten from the Service on that?

They're going to require whatever it is they require, and that would be binding on the Commission even after a decision came out. So I don't anticipate that that would have to hold anything up.

MR. GALATI: What's really holding it up, Mr. Fay, is a commitment from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as to the process that they would -- the ability to expedite our process in consultation with them. And not necessarily the

```
1 substance.
```

2 HEARING OFFICER FAY	: Well, going back
-----------------------	--------------------

- 3 to the schedule, Commissioner Geesman says that if
- 4 this is going to be a half-day hearing, and it
- 5 sounds like the parties are anticipating, you
- 6 know, pretty smooth sailing on those two topics,
- 7 then it could be done Wednesday after the business
- 8 meeting.
- 9 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: Which would
- 10 be Wednesday, the 11th.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Wednesday, the
- 12 11th. So we could start at 2:00.
- MR. GALATI: I think that would be very
- 14 helpful.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay.
- 16 MR. RATLIFF: There is one complication
- 17 to the air quality hearing, and that is that we
- 18 anticipate that the PDOC will come out
- 19 approximately the end of this month. The Air
- 20 District then has a 30-day comment period for the
- 21 PDOC. And after that, publishes the FDOC.
- 22 Sometimes, you never know exactly how long it will
- 23 take them to actually publish the FDOC. Depends
- on how many comments they get usually.
- Therefore, we don't know exactly when

1 we'll have an FDOC; and we don't know whether it

- 2 will vary in an meaningful way from the PDOC.
- 3 Which might complicate the timing of when you
- 4 would actually put that issue to rest.
- 5 I just raise that without knowing what
- 6 the answer is, but it may mean that at a minimum
- 7 you would have to leave the record open until you
- 8 got the FDOC.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FAY: If the parties
- 10 were anticipating certain things in the FDOC --
- 11 well, first let me back up. Is it true that the
- 12 staff feels comfortable filing its testimony
- 13 without the FDOC?
- MR. RATLIFF: Go ahead.
- MR. TRASK: I think that what I might
- suggest is that we hold the prehearing conference
- on that issue at the same time we have the hearing
- 18 for this phase. By then the PDOC should be out,
- and I think we would have a good idea what, if
- 20 any, issues remain to be resolved in the DOC
- 21 process.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay.
- 23 MR. TRASK: Right now we don't
- 24 anticipate that there would be any need to wait
- for the FDOC, but we just don't know.

1	HEARING OFFICER FAY: All right, so
2	that's a possibility. So that on May 7th we'll
3	have a better idea of whether staff feels
4	comfortable going ahead with that. And if that's
5	the case, we could shoot for that June 11th
6	hearing date with the understanding that, best
7	case scenario, it wouldn't matter if the FDOC was
8	out. If staff had reservations then we would
9	perhaps pick a day a week later or something to
10	try to be sure that the FDOC
11	MR. TRASK: I believe it's possible we
12	could still make that date, even if we do wait for
13	the FDOC. If it proceeds on time we would have
14	that approximately the first week of June. We
15	could then do our addendum, if needed, shortly
16	after that and still probably make right around
17	the 11th.
18	MR. GALATI: And the other thing, if I
19	could inform the Committee, it appears that from
20	all the work that's been done on this PDOC that
21	the potential for discrepancy revolves around one
22	condition, and specific excursion language to that
23	condition.

So I didn't want to give the Committee
the impression that there were, as you can see

```
1 there's not a lot of public interest in the
```

- 2 project, in opposing it; there is not an active
- 3 intervenor. We don't anticipate significant
- 4 comments, except if there are any comments it's
- 5 most likely going to be on that one issue.
- 6 So we would like to really shoot for
- 7 this day on the 11th, and proceed and have the
- 8 FDOC be -- the record left open and the FDOC
- 9 entered into the record after that stage, in case
- 10 it does come in late.
- 11 But we're not anticipating that it will
- 12 be significantly later.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. All right,
- let me just ask you then, which topics you think
- 15 you will not be submitting on declaration, since I
- 16 assume most will be coming in on declaration. And
- 17 right now I'm referring to our first set of
- 18 hearings, so let's forget about air quality and
- 19 alternatives.
- So, Mr. Galati, if you can tell me which
- ones you plan to have witnesses present for, and
- 22 we'll start with that.
- 23 MR. GALATI: Basically there would only
- 24 be one, and it would be project description.
- 25 Wanted to make sure the Committee understood the

1 project, and the project objectives and purpose.

- 2 So we wanted to have a panel testify for
- 3 approximately 15 minutes to further explain the
- 4 project.
- 5 All of the other areas, and again our
- 6 approach would be, with biological resources, is
- 7 to not make a dispute where one is not likely to
- 8 exist. And if we did not receive our confirmation
- 9 from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service we would be
- 10 asking you to postpone the biological resources
- 11 hearing until part two, the part two hearings, so
- that we could, once again, submit that upon
- declaration; as opposed to having the dispute when
- it's likely going to be taken off the table. It's
- 15 just a matter of getting written confirmation of
- 16 that.
- So, with that in mind, although we've
- 18 proposed some conditions, modification to
- 19 conditions, many of which have been accepted by
- 20 staff in the workshop, some of which they needed
- 21 more time to anticipate, even if there was a
- change, if we didn't have full agreement on the
- conditions, we believe we could handle that in our
- 24 briefs.
- 25 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. And when

```
would we know if you're going to request putting
```

- 2 over biological resources? The day of the hearing
- 3 or would we know before then?
- 4 MR. GALATI: You will know with the
- 5 filing of our testimony on April 30th.
- 6 MR. TRASK: I had filing of testimony on
- 7 the 28th. Did I miss that date?
- 8 MR. GALATI: I think that's your errata
- 9 which we need to see to say we agree with it or
- 10 not.
- 11 MR. TRASK: Oh, you're right, I'm sorry.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Yeah, staff was
- planning on filing its addendum on the 28th.
- 14 Applicant was going to file its testimony on April
- 15 30th.
- 16 All right, so just that one topic, then,
- 17 with witnesses?
- MR. GALATI: Yes, that's it.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. All right.
- 20 Any comments on the staff prehearing conference
- 21 statement?
- MR. GALATI: I think that staff
- 23 anticipated maybe a possible dispute or evidence
- of cultural resources, which we do not intend to
- 25 bring. We have some suggestion modifications that

```
we feel can be handled in a brief if at all
necessary.
```

But we have submitted our comments on

the staff assessment, which are very nicely

prepared by Doug Davy, which basically lays out

the comments on the actual staff assessment; and

then an attachment which is proposed modifications

to the conditions.

And we filed this early. Comments were due on the 25th. We filed this yesterday hoping staff would have an opportunity to look at it and use it in use for their addendum. Much of what is repeated in here has been agreed in our workshop. And there's only probably, I think, about four or five conditions that staff's still thinking about.

HEARING OFFICER FAY: And the recommendations that you just referred to that you filed with the comments, are those the same as the ones we received yesterday or the day before?

MR. GALATI: Correct. Yesterday by email I also sent to the Committee and Dick

Ratliff just the portion of the conditions which

is included in the comments on the staff
assessment, since we were supposed to do that in
our prehearing conference. I didn't have it

4	c '		1 1	
	tin	٦.	shed	

7

9

11

14

2	HEARING	OFFICER	FAV.	Okav	רו ב	right.
∠	DNIARING	OLLICEN	L'AI.	Unay.	$\Delta T T$	T T GII C ,

3 let's turn to the staff, then. Any corrections to

4 your prehearing conference statement?

5 MR. TRASK: Well, we would certainly

6 agree with Mr. Galati to remove all references to

any potential issue with cultural resources.

And beyond that I'm not aware of any

changes we'd want to make.

10 HEARING OFFICER FAY: So, the language

modification suggestions the applicant proposed

12 are acceptable to staff?

MR. TRASK: We have not quite reached a

conclusion on all of them. I'd say we're within a

day or two --

16 HEARING OFFICER FAY: But you think it's

17 the kind of thing you could handle in briefs, even

if there was a dispute?

MR. TRASK: Easily.

20 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay.

MR. TRASK: Easily.

22 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Any other changes?

23 MR. TRASK: Well, I would like to update

24 maybe a little bit on item number 8 about the

25 BAAQMD. We've had considerable amount of

- discussions on that recently, and we're scheduled to teleconference tomorrow at 10:30 a.m. with the
- 3 Bay District, USEPA and the ARB to go over the
- 4 most recent BACT proposal. After which we believe
- 5 that it would be a very short time producing the
- 6 PDOC. So that's why we remain confident.
- 7 MR. GALATI: Mr. Fay, if I may add to
- 8 that, I'd like to express our thanks to management
- 9 and Mr. Trask and Mr. Ratliff for helping us
- 10 resolve getting this PDOC out quickly. There are
- some issues that staff may have with the
- 12 particular excursion language, and it appears
- 13 clear to us that management will communicate to
- 14 the District that they should issue their PDOC
- 15 which what they feel is best and allow the comment
- 16 period and/or in hearings at the Energy Commission
- 17 to work out the details.
- 18 And so we appreciate that approach and
- 19 we think that that's going to keep us on schedule.
- 20 And we'd like to thank management for stepping in
- and helping us with that.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Yeah, I think
- 23 that's a good idea. The Committee certainly
- 24 prefers having these matters before us rather than
- 25 buried in an agency and thereby holding up the

1	process.	The	PDOC	is	а	critical	path	item.

- 2 Changes can be made in our process, but
- 3 nobody can do anything if the document is not
- forthcoming. So that's a good development.
- 5 Let me also ask if you'll be presenting
- any witnesses from Cal-ISO or Fish and Game or
- 7 U.S. Fish and Wildlife?
- 8 MR. RATLIFF: No, we don't expect to.
- 9 But we assume that you will want, at a minimum, a
- 10 declaration from the Air District or his
- 11 appearance at the hearing, either way, to provide
- the testimony you need under 25524, I believe,
- 13 regarding the air quality, satisfaction of the
- 14 District's requirements.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Right and that
- 16 adequate offsets have been identified.
- 17 MR. RATLIFF: Would you prefer to have
- 18 that either by declaration or --
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Well, I think if
- 20 there's no dispute that by declaration would be
- 21 fine.
- MR. RATLIFF: Okay.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER FAY: And save the
- 24 District a lot of time. And so if they could have
- 25 that available regardless of the status of the

```
1 FDOC, a statement that meets our statutory
```

- 2 requirements. But then, in addition, if the FDOC
- 3 is available, the declaration should include
- 4 reference to that, too, so that we can move that
- 5 in by declaration.
- 6 MR. RATLIFF: Okay, we'll discuss it
- 7 with the District and we'll report back to the
- 8 Committee at the prehearing conference for air
- 9 quality as to how -- by then we'll know a whole
- 10 lot more about whether we've resolved everything
- and we can discuss it with the District whether
- they'll provide a declaration, or whether Dr. Lim
- 13 will appear.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Are there any
- other things -- I know you just got the suggested
- 16 modifications. Is it immediately obvious that
- 17 that's cleared up any other areas, too? Or do you
- just need more time to digest that?
- 19 MR. TRASK: We need a little bit more
- time, but I don't anticipate it being an issue. I
- 21 anticipated everything being ready by today, but
- 22 we've been consumed by discussions on air quality
- 23 and it's just a matter of logistics and getting it
- 24 through. I don't anticipate any problem.
- 25 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, good.

- parties?
- 3 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: Timing on May
- 4 7th for a hearing. Sounds like it's a half a day?
- 5 MR. GALATI: Yeah, it's half a day.
- 6 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: Can we start
- 7 pretty early? I've got a confirmation hearing at
- 8 1:30 that afternoon.
- 9 MR. GALATI: You do not want to be late
- 10 to that.
- 11 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: No, the
- 12 Senate Rules Committee tells me I should
- definitely not be late for that.
- 14 (Laughter.)
- 15 PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: So if we
- 16 could start at 9:00 I would appreciate it.
- 17 MR. GALATI: That's great.
- 18 MR. RATLIFF: Am I to understand then
- 19 that we will submit -- staff will submit by
- 20 declaration its testimony and not present live
- 21 witnesses at the hearing? Is that correct? At
- 22 the May 7th hearing. Or do you want any
- 23 particular witnesses to appear at that hearing?
- 24 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Well, applicant
- 25 put two hours down for cross-examination on

biological resources. It's going to be tough to

- 2 use up the two hours if you don't have a witness
- 3 there to cross-examine.
- 4 (Laughter.)
- 5 MR. GALATI: If I could clarify. On our
- filing on the 30th we will do one of two things.
- 7 Make it clear there is no dispute in biological
- 8 resources, or ask you to postpone the biological
- 9 resource section of the hearing to the air quality
- 10 hearing on June 11th.
- So, no need to bring biology, and we
- won't be bringing biology witnesses, as well. I
- wanted to preserve our right to show that there is
- 14 a possibility of the need for adjudication in
- issue, but I think it's probably better to
- 16 postpone it, rather than try to deal with that
- 17 dispute.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay, good. In
- 19 that case it sounds like Mr. Trask is the only
- 20 witness we need. And what I anticipate, since
- 21 this will probably go pretty quickly, is that
- 22 we'll go topic by topic in the order of the staff
- 23 assessment. And with the exception of your live
- 24 witnesses for project description, then if you
- 25 would introduce each topic with a very brief

```
1 summary, and the project manager or you could do
```

- 2 that, and then if staff has nothing to add to the
- 3 description, we'll just ask if there's public
- 4 comment on that topic, and move on.
- 5 And just go, you know, in a lockstep
- 6 right down the list. It will be pretty fast. And
- 7 then at the end Mr. Ratliff had suggested that we
- 8 hold moving all the documents until the end, we
- 9 can do that. You just run down your list. And
- 10 we'll get them all into the record at that time.
- 11 So it should be pretty efficient.
- MR. GALATI: Okay, so I don't need a
- witness to sponsor a particular exhibit; it'll be
- done in the written testimony. But at the end
- 15 I'll just identify them for the record and we'll
- 16 move them in?
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FAY: That's right.
- MR. GALATI: Great.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Or you can
- 20 identify them when you identify the topic. But
- 21 the point is that there'll be a declaration for
- 22 each piece of testimony. And that --
- MR. GALATI: Right, I'd prefer to do it
- 24 at the end. I think that's a lot easier for me to
- 25 keep track of.

1	HEARING	OFFICER	FAY:	Yeah,	good.	Okav.

- 2 MR. TRASK: One issue I feel I should
- 3 perhaps bring up. The applicant had requested
- 4 that the second hearing, the air quality hearing,
- 5 be held in Santa Clara.
- 6 MR. GALATI: That's correct, and --
- 7 MR. TRASK: If you're going to have that
- 8 on the afternoon after a business meeting that
- 9 will make that very difficult.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FAY: That's new to me.
- Our assumption about the afternoon was that it
- would be here.
- MR. GALATI: We're okay, we want the
- 14 11th.
- MR. TRASK: I assumed that that's
- 16 probably the way they would go.
- MR. GALATI: Yes.
- 18 (Laughter.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Not twisting your
- 20 arm, here, but --
- MR. GALATI: No. Was I too quick --
- 22 HEARING OFFICER FAY: -- just a fact of
- 23 life in terms of logistics.
- MR. GALATI: -- was I too quick on that?
- 25 HEARING OFFICER FAY: Yeah.

1	MR. TRASK: We'll consult with you about
2	having the workshop in Santa Clara, if that would
3	help.
4	MR. GALATI: Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Yeah, that would
6	be helpful. Okay. Any other scheduling things?
7	No. Comments? Any public comments?
8	Commissioner Geesman, any inspirational
9	remarks
10	PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: No
11	HEARING OFFICER FAY: to send us on
12	our way?
13	PRESIDING MEMBER GEESMAN: On to the
14	7th.
15	HEARING OFFICER FAY: Okay. Well,
16	that's all. Very efficient. Thank you all for
17	coming. We're adjourned.
18	(Whereupon, at 2:37 p.m., the hearing
19	was adjourned.)
20	000
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, PETER PETTY, an Electronic Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I recorded the foregoing California Energy Commission Prehearing Conference; that it was thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said conference, nor in any way interested in outcome of said conference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of April, 2003.